

① The Foundation of Cîteaux.

Reaction not accepted monasticism as practised at Molesme.  
A New Departure. What was Molesme - what was the new departure?

a) Molesme - Its founder - St Robert.  
Its foundation + what changed? - Poorer monastery. St Stephen, St Bruno attracted with St Warren far out to alps.

But conventional - i.e. wealthy, comfortable "arrivés"

The idea of the foundation - from Robert? Or from Vitae

or St Alberic = Gerson Miliken, P. O. M.,  
or St Stephen = com of Malmesbury.

1098.

b) The struggle to make the foundation - Robert joins other hermits + is called back. Alberic imprisoned.

Read Lumen p. 29

c) The departure - foundation - Patrons - steps to get approval. first days. Return of Robert to Molesme. (as result of a communal council)

Read AK. p. 5.

d) The Administration of St Alberic 1099 - 1109  
Privilegium Romanense. (The institution of monastery - statement of the aims of foundation as approved by B. P. - poverty - austerity.)

Institutio - Poverty. Lay brothers. Future foundations

Liturgy - simplification of office. next establishment of balance of lit. life.

e) Administration of St Stephen 1109 - 1133.  
Solicitude - exclusion of B. (B. who continues hermit)

Poverty - esp. in liturgy - pushed to extreme.

Liturgical Reform - chant. Scripture translation.

1118, 1119? approved 1152.

Charter of Charity - original work of St Stephen. see later. creates Citeaux as order.

General Chapter - visitations - autonomy of houses. + order - exemption.

(no. 1215 - Conc. Lat. can 12 - since order should have GC like Cîteaux.)

1. 10/10/10

High form

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

- 1) quiet, simple, self-effacing.
- 2) yet a good organizer (reported)
- 3) M. a great ally + spiritual center.

10/10/10

10/10/10

St Robert - *Arms millesimo centesimo bis minus uno*  
St Peter Roberto coepit Cisterciensis ordo.  
Controversy about him - (Wm of Malmebury etc contra.)

6. abr 1028. - (legend of Bn. cap. lxxx)

ent Montier la Celle. @ 15

1068. - at about 40 - becomes abbot of St M. de Tonnerre -  
soon after - Colan seeks him. monks return.

1074 - Alfred at Saint Omer

1075 } Roman permission to go back - goes to Colan  
then to Malme. - (Malme comes to Malme about 1084.)

1088? At Stephen's - returning from pilgrimage to Rome  
with Peter - his companion.

Development of Malme - innest - due to conflict between old + new.

- not real lack of power.

- but dissatisfaction + division.

by 1098. - M. had 35 dependent  
parsons, + granges, cellae,  
manoreries.

[Gifts of churches, titles. children in mon. - news in nearby houses]  
cont. of monks at mon. "Are we keeping the Rule?" - tepid as neglect - letter of Wmfr. EP  
de transgressionem Regulae ... consequentem text of EP.

They claim - ① Necessity of penance + manual labor

of Sabrazo.

1090 - Queen + Guy + Anselm  
to Anselm.

② Reaction against Chinai observance.

1090 or 1093(?) - a first attempt - Hermitage of Aux or Anselm.  
1098 Ann. ~~Foundation~~ Foundation of liberary.

Alberic imprisoned(?)  
Foundation of 9 priories + 1 abbey.

1099 - St Robert recalled to Malme by Urban - see Exordium cap. 6.  
Reasons given. cap 7

declining years active

see next p.

1111 - Death of St Robert. - April 17.

succeeded by Guy de Chartel Canon 1111 - 1132. friend of St Bernard.

Then. Girard 1140 - 1148 - again good relations with St B.  
nr. Humbeline to Pelley.

1198. Malme definitely decadent - Abbot of Clairvaux appointed to visit Reg. visitations -  
but an immediate revival under Ganelon

Euclis II - 1215 - 1227 - works for canonization of St Robert, in harmony with Clairvaux  
(can. 1222.)

1245 - Title First Abbot of Cist. + founder of Cist. order rec. by Gen. Chapter.

Foundations of Cistercians.

- 1) Exordium Parvum. - brief, official definition of an ideal. - but early.
- 2) Exord. Magnum - rel. late. - fanciful.
- 3) Com. of Malinesbury. - Carta Regum Anglorum - biased. for Stephen.
- 4) Odoericus Vitalis - imaginative.
- 5) Vita Roberti - 13<sup>th</sup> cent. - does not correspond with the others.  
 } says Robert & Stephen went to be hermits at Viviers  
 then went to Cistercians & were joined there by Robt + 22.

Evolution of Early Constitution

Outline - based on Ex Parvum. - Lekai.

1) 1098 - Jan? - Robert with Alberic, Stephen - etc, detail from + Peter.  
 goes to P. legate asking permission to make a new found<sup>n</sup> under his protection  
 the approval.

Letter of Hugh Lekai p. 252. Read

21 March - 1098 - date of  
 Can. sanction of mon.

Summery + terms project to be elsewhere + keep Rule of H.B. more perfectly.

2) 1100. April 18. Partial grant the Privilegium Romanum.  
 purpose - to ensure peace for the Cistercian reforms.

Steps. a) John + Richard sent to Rome. EP. (Lekai) p. 258.

b) with letters of Two Cardinals. - John + Richard. p. 258.  
 use that previous protection granted by Urban. (254, 255?) be confirmed.

Letter of legate, Hugh - describing observance + persecution by Benedictines asking protection.

Letter of Walter of Chalon - everything is in order - all has been carried out acc. to orders of Urban II -  
~~request~~ protection requested. - under bishop. 260-261.

Foundation of Citreux. - Story based on charters etc. Fisher.

1. That the place was used + desolate - something of a wilderness. They + land they were on given to Citreux about 1134.  
There were 2 families of serfs in the neighborhood. Possibly a chapel. (Fisher) 1143
2. That they got there on Jan 21 - oversimplified. Mar 21. The official date ("commonly cited?") (Fisher)  
The monks began to use the chapel for Mass - it is (re) dedicated in 1098, Summer or Fall.  
- old chapel or new?
3. Support of legate Hugh, a zealous reformer + friend of Robert.  
Support of Odo (son of) Duke of Burgundy - who brought more land + added to property - helped monks finish wooden building. - materials from feudal obligations + parts use of (his) woods.
4. Robert installed at Chalons.  
monks ~~start~~ make stability at Citreux.
5. Xmas 1098. Odo presents Robert with a vineyard, in presence of a great multitude of lords  
commoners Fisher p. 55 - silly remark! They were there!